# FREEPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT



# TPCA ACCREDITATION ANALYSIS REPORT 2024

#### Introduction

This analysis was conducted for the purpose of reviewing the Freeport Police Department's Vehicle Pursuits, Use of Force Incidents, Fleet Accidents and Employee Injuries for calendar year 2024. The Freeport Police Department takes its duty seriously to provide the most effective and efficient police services with the least adverse impact on our citizens. The Freeport Police Department is committed to protecting our citizens but at the same time ensuring the manner in which we do so does not place the public at risk of greater harm. This commitment is also construed to protect our employees and members of the organization by evaluating circumstances and practices to ensure they are prescribed to be carried out in the safest and most efficient manner possible.

This process requires continuous evaluation of the risks and benefits of actions and procedures. The following analyses are conducted on an annual basis to comply with the TPCA Accreditation Best Practice Program, Standards 4.10 – Annual Accident & Injury Analysis, 6.10 – Annual Use of Force Analysis, and 7.14 – Annual Vehicle Pursuit Analysis.

#### Vehicle Pursuit Analysis & Report

TPCA Best Practice Standard 7.13, as well as Freeport Police Department Policy 7.15, requires a written report be completed anytime an officer pursues a vehicle.

Any time an officer calls a pursuit, department policy requires a supervisor be immediately notified by the dispatch center. The supervisor is required to monitor the pursuit and act as an uninvolved decision maker regarding the danger of the pursuit. Both the officer and supervisor are charged with continuous evaluation of the pursuit as it occurs and are required to call off the pursuit when either believe the risks to the officer or public outweigh the need for immediate capture of the suspect.

Each individual pursuit report is immediately reviewed by the officer's supervisor, and through the chain of command up to the Chief of Police. At the time of the incident, the pursuit is reviewed to ensure the pursuit was appropriate and within the guidelines of department policy. If the officer initiated a pursuit inappropriately, a departmental investigation may be required and the officer may receive additional training or in some cases discipline up to and including termination from employment. This annual analysis is not intended to determine if an officer acted inappropriately, but to identify department wide trends that may suggest changes in policy, training, equipment or supervision.

Pursuit data is collected by the officer pursuing, CAD records as well as the on-duty or controlling supervisor's report of the incident through the pursuit report. This report is a fillable PDF document that is available to all members of the department on a template format and is to be completed by the pursuing officer and the supervisor.

The Freeport Police Department did not make any significant changes to the way pursuits are reported, handled, or documented. The Freeport Police Department did re-evaluate and re-issue the policy, with updates in November of 2024.

#### Conclusion – Vehicle Pursuits

There were a total of 3 Reported Vehicle Pursuits this year, compared to 8 last year. This represents a different of -5 or a 90.91 % reduction. The table is lined out to show variables that are tracked to view the analysis and be able to accurately evaluate certain items.

In conclusion, in this year there were no substantial changes to the pursuit policy, nor any directives that would affect the initiation, handling or reporting of a pursuit. The department did provide training on Professional Police Driving last year and will continue to do so on a consistent basis. It is recommended that the department facilitate or conduct training on Emergency Vehicle Operations, such as EVOC. This training would be beneficial not only in the pursuit aspect, but also in the daily operation of the fleet, as in collision or obstacle avoidance. Instances of pursuits in this year were found to be within policy and all ended in a safe result. In analyzing the data collected, no trends appear that would constitute concern.

Analyze	ed Data – Vehicle Pursuits	Year 2023	Year 2024	Difference (+/-)
	Sworn Officers	32	32	0
Total	Traffic Stops	3482	3869	+ 387
	Pursuits	8	3	- 5
Reason for Initiation	Traffic Violation	3	1	-2
	Misdemeanor Offense	1	0	- 1
	Felony Offense	1	1	0
Reason for Initiation	Outstanding Warrant	1	0	- 1
	Suspected Intoxication	0	0	0
	Other	2	1	- 1
	To Personal Property	1	2	+1
Damage	To Government Property	0	1	+ 1
	10 Covernment repent)			
	To Offender	1	1	0
Injuries	To Officer(s)	0	0	0
	To Passengers	0	0	0
	To Other	0	0	0
	TO Other			
	Minimum	26s	9m	+ 8m, 34s
Length of Time	Maximum	15m	13m,20s	- 1m, 40s
Length of Time		4m,10s	11m,30s	+ 7m, 30s
	Average	4111,103	11111,503	+ 7111, 303
	Minimum	0.1 mi	10 mi	+ 9.9 mi
Distance Traveled	and the second s	4.2 mi	18.9 mi	+ 14.7 mi
Distance Traveled	Maximum	CEC NO. OCCUPANTO		
	Average	1.6 mi	14.3 mi	+ 15.9 mi
	D. Officer		1	I . 1
Termination	By Officer	0	1	+1
	By Supervisor	1	0	-1
	By Offender	7	2	- 5
Initiations by Officers	# of officers initiated more than 1 pursuit	2	1	+ 1
	Number of their pursuits	3, 2	2	
	Arrested	6	3	- 3
Offender Result	Absconded	2	0	- 2
	Hospital	0	1	+1
	Deceased	0	0	0
Additional Charges	Total Misdemeanors	1	6	+ 5
(Offender only)	Total Felonies	0	3	+3
Policy Violations	Minor	0	1	+1
rolley violations	Major	0	0	0
	% of Traffic Stops resulting in pursuit	0.23%	0.08%	- 0.15%
Statistics	% of Traffic Stops not resulting in pursuit	99.77%	99.92%	+ 0.15%
	% of Sworn Personnel engaged in pursuit	15.63%	6.25%	- 9.38%

## Use of Force Analysis & Report

TPCA Best Practice Standard 6.10, as well as Freeport Police Department Policy 6.1, requires a written report be completed any time an officer uses force in any capacity during their work, with the exception of routine handcuffing and movement of an arrested or detained person. It should be noted that simple detention either by physical control or constructive does not necessarily constitute a use of force.

Officers are trained by the department to use only the level of force necessary to bring a person under control and complete a legal objective. Police/citizen encounters can, however, change instantly and officers may be required to react quickly in using force to subdue a resisting subject. While officers prefer to escalate their level of force in an orderly manner in order to use the lowest level possible, the response of a subject may limit that ability and force officers to use whatever level of force is immediately available to protect themselves and the public.

Department policy requires that any time an officer uses force to effect an arrest, prevent a crime, or any other necessary component of their work function, a report must be made, regardless of the arrest or non-arrest of a subject. Additionally, a Response to Resistance supplemental report is required to be drafted and submitted to the officer's supervisor. The Response to Resistance is a supplement, and a copy of the narratives of involved and reporting officers are to accompany such as well as any other documentation or photographs.

Upon the use of force, officers are to immediately notify the on-duty supervisor, or the Chief of Police, if the supervisor is unavailable. The supervisor or Chief of Police shall then determine if an investigation is needed immediately or not, such as in cases of an officers' discharge of a weapon or an officer-involved shooting. The subject who had force used against them will be photographed, including any possible injuries, points of contact with weaponless techniques or weapon impacts to document any possible injury, or lack of injury. Officers will then submit a response to resistance which is to be reviewed by the immediate supervisor and then reviewed and submitted through the chain of command.

The Freeport Police Department did not make any significant changes to the way that use of force is reported, handled, or documented. The policy, Use of Force 6.1 was amended to include a requirement that training on the WRAP Device be done on a biennial basis. The Freeport Police Department did re-evaluate and re-issue the policy with updates in November of 2024.

#### Conclusion – Use of Force Incidents

There were a total of 31 Uses of Force this year, compared to 23 last year. This represents a different of 8+ or a 34.78% increase. The table is lined out to show variables that are tracked to view the analysis and be able to accurately evaluate certain items.

In conclusion, in this year there were two changes to the Use of Force Policy, to include Correcting Language from "Use of Force" form to "Response to Resistance" form, and adding the biennial requirement for training on operations personnel on the WRAP Device. In 2024, training was conducted in the following areas: De-Escalation of Force, WRAP Device Deployment as well as recertification training in Conducted Electric Weapons, specifically Tasers and Less Lethal Chemical Weapons, specifically OC Spray. In analyzing the data collected, no trends appear that would constitute concern.

Calls for Service
Use of Force Incidents
Arrests Made
Arrests Involving Use of Force
Arrests Involving Use of Force   19   22   +3
Reason for Force Being Used  Number of Officers who used force out of department  To Effect Arrest To Defend Self To Defend Another Officer To Defend Another Person To Prevent Offense To Restrain for Subject Safety Other  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  To Effect Arrest 19 22 +3 44 4 4 0 7 7 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
Reason for Force Being Used  To Defend Another Officer To Defend Another Person To Prevent Offense To Restrain for Subject Safety Other  To Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  To Effect Arrest 4 4 4 0 To Defend Another Officer 3 1 1 +2 11 -1 11 -1 12 11 -1 13 +3  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Beauty and the strict of the s
Reason for Force Being Used  Used  To Defend Another Officer  To Defend Another Person  To Prevent Offense  To Restrain for Subject Safety Other  12 11 -1  To Restrain for Subject Safety Other  13 15 +2  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody Actions  Actions  To Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  Other  To Defend Another Officer  3 1 +2  11 -1  12 11 -1  3 +3  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction 8 14 +6  Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody 11 10 -1  Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  Other
Reason for Force Being Used  Used  To Defend Another Officer  To Defend Another Person  To Prevent Offense  To Restrain for Subject Safety  Other  12 11 -1  To Restrain for Subject Safety  Other  13 15 +2  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody  Actions  Actions  To Prevent Offense  4 5 +1  12 11 -1  13 +3  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  B 14 +6  Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody  Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  Other  Other
Reason for Force Being Used  To Defend Another Person  To Prevent Offense To Restrain for Subject Safety Other  To Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody Actions  Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  To Defend Another Officer  2 11 -1 11 -1 13 +3  15 +2  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Bead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody 11 10 -1 11 10 -1 12 11 10 -1 13 15 +2  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody 11 10 -1 11 10 -1 12 11 10 -1 13 15 +2 14 +6 15 11 10 -1 16 11 10 -1 17 18 11 10 -1 18 11 10 -1 19 11 10
Force Being Used  To Defend Another Person  To Prevent Offense  To Restrain for Subject Safety Other  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  To Defend Another Person  4 5 +1  -1  -1  -1  1 3 +3  15 +2  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  8 14 +6  Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody 11 10 -1  To Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  Other
Used To Prevent Offense To Restrain for Subject Safety Other 12 11 -1 Other 1 3 +3  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  Other 2 9 +7
To Restrain for Subject Safety Other  12 11 -1 3 +3  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody 11 10 -1  Subject's Actions  Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI Other  Other  2 9 +7
Other    Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance   13   15   +2
Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody  Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  Other  Nonverbal cues indicating physical resistance  13
Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody  Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  Other  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  8 14 +6  10 -1  18 +1  4 2  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  3 1 +2  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  Other  Other
Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  Dead Weight, clinging to objects, preventing custody  Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  Other  Verbal Threats, non-compliance with officer direction  8 14 +6  10 -1  18 +1  42  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  3 1 +2  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  Other  Other
Subject's Actions Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer 17 18 +1  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another 3 1 +2  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI 0 0 0  Assault or threats with deadly weapon 1 1 0  Other 2 9 +7
Subject's Actions Pulling, Pushing, Fleeing, to avoid control, not harming officer  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  O  Other  17  18  +1  17  18  +1  18  10  0  0  0  0  11  10  0  11  10  11  11  11  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  18  18  18  18  18  18  18  18
Actions  Assault, grabbing, pushing, kicking, striking officer or another  Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI  Assault or threats with deadly weapon  Other  3 1 +2  0 0  1 1  0  7 1  1 1  1 1  1 1  1 1
Assault with intent and ability to cause death or SBI 0 0 0  Assault or threats with deadly weapon 1 1 0  Other 2 9 +7
Assault or threats with deadly weapon 1 1 0 Other 2 9 +7
Other 2 9 +7
Verbal Direction 23 28 +5
Verbal Direction   23   28   +5
2007 C 2008 C 1008 C 1008 C 100 C 10
Soft Weaponless Control 19 17 -2
Hard Weaponless Control 2 0 +2
OC Spray 0 0 0
Type of Loss Lethal Munitions 0 0 0
Force used Less Lettial Multitions
by Officer Pointed Taser 9 11 +2
Deployed laser 8 / -1
Pointed Firearm 3 15 +12
Discharged Firearm 0 0 0
WRAP Device 0 0 0
WRAP Device         0         0         0           Other         2         1         -1
Other 2 1 -1
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in         Officer         1         0         +1
Other 2 1 -1
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1           Severity of         Major         1         1         0
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1           Severity of         Major         1         1         0
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1           Severity of         Major         1         1         0
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1           Severity of Injury         Major         1         1         0           Injury         Minor         7         6         +1
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1           Severity of Injury         Major Minor         1         1         0           Treated by         Officer         0         2         2
Other         2         1         -1           Resulted in Injury to         Officer         1         0         +1           Subject         7         8         +1           Severity of Injury         Major Minor         1         1         0           Treated by         Officer         0         2         2

Statistics	Average number of officers on scene when force used	2.69	2.70	+0.01
	Failed first time attempt, escalated to next level	10	9	-1
	Charges filed	19	23	+4
	In compliance with policy	23	31	+8
	Referred for further investigation	0	0	0
	Percentage of police-citizen contacts resulting in Use of Force	0.09%	0.11%	+0.02%
	Percentage of citizens arrests resulting in Use of Force	3.27%	4.35%	+1.08%
	Number of officers using force more than 3 times	1	2	+1
	Average number of use of force incidents per officer	0.71	0.96	+0.25

#### Fleet Accident/Collision Analysis & Report

TPCA Best Practice Standard 4.10, as well as Freeport Police Department Policy 2.6, requires that anytime a member of this department is involved in a collision while operating a city owned vehicle, unless incapacitated, they are to immediately notify dispatch or their supervisor. Dispatch is required to notify the on-duty supervisor who will then make notification to the command staff. Supervisors are required to ensure that collision investigations are handled by an outside agency in the interest of transparency and accountability. The supervisor will also ensure that all persons involved are provided and evaluated by medical staff and transported if necessary. The supervisor will then begin the process of documenting the incident from the administrative position, which parallels the Collision Investigation and Criminal Investigation, if applicable. The supervisor will prepare a memorandum to the Chief of Police detailing the accident, all contributing factors, statements of witnesses, name and insurance information as well as contact information, if known, of all parties and a statement as to whether or not the collision presents as preventable or non-preventable. Additionally, the supervisor may elect to provide a recommendation on ways to mitigate collisions in the future, if applicable. The supervisor will then submit this documentation through their chain of command. The Chief or their designee, will ensure that an accident review board is held in accordance with department policy. The findings of the board will be then included in a memorandum prepared by the presenting supervisor and forwarded through the chain of command to the Chief of Police.

The Freeport Police Department did not make any significant changes to the way that Fleet Accidents are reported, handled, documented. The Freeport Police Department did re-evaluate and re-issue the policy with updates in November of 2024.

#### Conclusion – Fleet Accidents

There were a total of 9 Fleet Accidents this year, compared to 0 last year. This represents a different of +9 or a 900% reduction/increase. The table is lined out to show variables that are tracked to view the analysis and be able to accurately evaluate certain items.

In conclusion, there were no changes to the policy governing the reporting, handling or investigation of fleet accidents. This department does routinely require all of its members to receive training in Professional Police Driving, or a similar course. As previously recommended in the Pursuit Analysis, Emergency Vehicle Operations Course training, or EVOC, is recommended to help train and coach officers and other personnel on safe vehicle driving. In one instance in 2024, there was a trend emerging with one officer. This was handled by the immediate supervisor and the officer was placed in training courses as well as attended an in-person training course where they were instructed in "Low-Speed High Stress". In analyzing the data collected, no other trends appear that would constitute concern.

Analyzed	Data – Fleet Accidents/Collisions	Year	Year	Difference
, and year bata in teet / teetachts, combions		2023	2024	(+/-)
Total	Calls for Service	24296	27387	+3,541
	Fleet Accidents	0	9	+9
	Sworn Personnel	32	32	0
	Non Sworn Personnel	19	22	+3
Cause of	Preventable	0	6	+6
Accident	Non-Preventable	0	3	+3
laius	To Officer	0	0	0
Injury	To Other	0	0	0
Multiple	Number of Personnel Causing more than 1 Accident	0	3	+3
Accident				
				Commence of the second
Policy Violations	Minor	0	3	+3
Policy violations	Major	0	0	0
Fault	Number of Collisions where personnel is at fault	0	6	+6
rauit	Number of Collisions where other party is at fault	0	3	+3
				April Miller
Accident Review Board Results	Non-Preventable	0	3	+3
	Preventable with Extenuating Circumstances	0	1	+1
	Preventable	0	4	+4

## Employee Injury Analysis & Report

TPCA Best Practice Standard 4.10, as well as Freeport Police Department Policy 2.6, requires that anytime a member of this department is involved in a workplace accident, or becomes injured while on duty, unless incapacitated, they are to immediately notify dispatch or their supervisor. Dispatch is required to notify the on-duty supervisor who will then make notification to the command staff. The supervisor will also ensure that all persons involved are evaluated by medical staff and transported if necessary. The supervisor will then begin the process of documenting the incident. The supervisor then will prepare a memorandum to the Chief of Police detailing the accident and/or injury, all contributing factors, and statements of witnesses. Additionally, the supervisor may elect to provide a recommendation on ways to mitigate these types of accidents or injuries in the future. The supervisor will submit this documentation through their chain of command to the Chief of Police. The Chief of Police or their designee will ensure that the documentation is forwarded to the City Human Resources Department for proper filing and documentation pursuant to City Policy.

The Freeport Police Department did not make any significant changes to the way that Workplace Accidents and Injuries are reported, handled, documented. The Freeport Police Department did re-evaluate and re-issue the policy with updates in November of 2024.

# Conclusion - Accidents/Injuries

There were a total of 8 Employee Injuries this year, compared to 6 last year. This represents a different of +2 or a 33.33% increase. The table is lined out to show variables that are tracked to view the analysis and be able to accurately evaluate certain items.

In conclusion, there were no changes to the policy governing the reporting, handling or investigation of employee accidents. This department does provide training in workplace safety on a rotational basis. In analyzing the data collected, no trends appear that would constitute concern.

Analyzed Data – Employee Accidents/Injuries		Year 2023	Year 2024	Difference (+/-)
Total	Calls for Service	24296	27387	+3,541
	Accident / Injury Reports	6	8	+2
	Sworn Personnel	32	32	0
	Non Sworn Personnel	19	22	+3
Action Resulting in Injury	Performance of Duties – Unintentional	6	7	+1
	Performance of Duties – Careless/Negligence	0	0	0
	Training Injury	0	1	+1
	Assisting other Personnel	0	0	0
	Other	0	0	0
		No. of the second		
	Bitten by Person	0	1	+1
	Bitten by Animal	0	2	+2
Type of Injury	Traumatic Injury	4	0	-4
	Non-Traumatic Injury	2	3	+1
	Inhalation/Ingestion/Injection	0	1	+1
	Slip/Trip/Fall	0	1	+1
	Other	0	0	0
Multiple	Number of Personnel Causing or Involved in more than 1	1	1	0
Accident	Accident			
Policy Violations	Minor	0	0	0
	Major	0	0	0
Fault	Number of Injury/Accident where personnel is at fault	1	0	-1
rauit	Number of Injury/Accident where other party is at fault	5	8	+3